

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/638,179	08/07/2003	Ulrich Bimbaum	DT-6591	3742	
30377 7	7590 05/04/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
DAVID TOR ABELMAN F	EN, ESQ. RAYNE & SCHWAB		MACARTHUR, VICTOR L		
666 THIRD AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK, NY 10017-5621			3679		

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/638,179	BIRNBAUM ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Victor MacArthur	3679				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D sisions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to, cause the application to become ABANDONED	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
· <u> </u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 F</u>						
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-13 and 15-21 is/are pending in the	annlication					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9 and 16-18</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	☐ Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-13,15 and 19-21</u> is/are rejected.						
	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc	epted or b) objected to by the E	xaminer.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
 1. ✓ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ✓ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 							
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment	• •	_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Art Unit: 3679

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 9, 16-18 remain withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 3/14/2005.

Preamble

The preamble of claim 1 merely recites the use or purpose of the claimed invention such that the body of the claim following the preamble is a self-contained description of the structure and does not depend on the preamble for completeness. Accordingly, the examiner has determined that the preamble does not limit the claim. The examiner has considered the claims without combination (emphasis added). See MPEP 2111.02 and Kropa v. Robie, 88 USPQ at 480-481; Rowe, 42 USPQ2d at 1553; and IMS Technology Inc. v. Haas Automation Inc., 54 USPQ2d 1129, 1137 (Fed.Cir.2000). Claims 5, 6 and 15 are primarily drawn to a support recited in claim 1 as only being intended to be used with the connecting element. Accordingly these claims are taken as merely elaborating on an intended use. If the applicant intends for the invention to be positively limited by a "support" then claim 1 should be amended accordingly, e.g., by positively claiming --A connecting element comprising a plurality of system supports--.

Application/Control Number: 10/638,179

Art Unit: 3679

Page 3

Claim Objections

Claims 1, 4 and 8 are objected to because of the following informalities:

• The first 4 lines of claim 1 should be amended to improve claim clarity as follows -- A connecting element for an assembly system comprising a plurality of system supports having openings arranged in a defined spacing on at least one of a plurality of outer walls of the support, wherein--

- Claim 4 is replete with confusing double inclusions. For example, the "elongated opening" and "notches" were previously set forth in claim 1. These duplicate recitations should be deleted from the claim. If the applicant is merely trying to claim that --said elongated opening and notches are formed on a base plate-- then the claims should be amended accordingly.
- Claim 8 should be amended to make clear that the openings recited therein are
 additional to those previously recited in the claims (e.g., by referring to them as -additional openings--).

Appropriate correction is required. For purposes of examining the instant invention, the examiner has assumed these corrections have been made.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8, 10-13, 15, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Seibold (U.S. Patent 5,435,107).

Claim 1. Seibold discloses (figs.2 and 4) a connecting element, the connecting element comprising a first member (3) and at least one second member (1) with at least one of said first and second members having at least one elongated opening (10) with at least a plurality of notches (18) extending continuously in side-by-side relation over the length of said at least one elongated opening with elongated parallel side said notches extending transversely of the length of said elongated opening. Note that the Seibold connecting element is structurally capable of performing the intended use of being "for an assembly system comprising a plurality of system supports having openings arranged in a defined spacing on at least one of a plurality of outer walls of the support". Further note that the Seibold elongated opening is structurally capable of performing the intended use limitation of being "for securing said connecting element to a support or to a base surface by a fastening element, passed through the at least one elongated opening, and engageable with complementary notches of the fastening element".

- Claim 2. Seibold discloses that the second member is arranged essentially perpendicular to said first member.
- Claim 3. Seibold discloses that said second member is a connector formed of a profile section (profile section of 1) with openings (openings in 1) for fixing a support by fastening elements passable through said openings in said connector.
- Claim 4. Seibold discloses that the connector comprises a base plate (base plate of 3) and said base plate has at least one elongated opening (10) with notches (10) located along the length

of the at least one elongated opening for engagement with complementary notches of a fastening element (as previously recited in claim 1, see claim objections above).

Claims 5, 6 and 15. Seibold discloses that the connector has an outer contour and an inner contour such that the Seibold connecting element is structurally capable of performing the intended use of being for an assembly system comprising a plurality of system supports of complementary contour so that said supports can slide over said connector and/or inserted into the connector.

Claim 7. Seibold discloses that said connector has a rectangular configuration and the openings are arranged parallel to a plane (plane parallel to openings and through said first member) for the passage of a fastening element, with said plane formed through said first member of the connecting element.

Claim 8. Seibold discloses that a plurality of additional openings (additional openings in 1 receiving 22) are arranged vertically relative to each other in a plurality of planes parallel to each other.

- Claim 10. Seibold discloses that said notches comprise teeth.
- Claim 11. Seibold discloses that the connecting element has at least one bead (bead in 3 having the teeth) extending in the elongated direction of said openings.
- Claim 12. Seibold discloses that the teeth are arranged in at least one bead wall of said at least one bead.
- Claim 13. Seibold discloses that a surface zone (surface zone having the notches) surrounding at least one said elongated opening has said notches.
 - Claim 19. Seibold discloses that the second member is formed of a hollow section.

Art Unit: 3679

Claim 20. Seibold discloses that the base plate is arranged in the same plane as the first member.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seibold (U.S. Patent 5,435,107).

Claim 21. A pitch of 2.5 mm is within the scope of the disclosure of the Seibold teeth. However, Seibold does not expressly state the exact tooth pitch. Seibold states that the teeth are for prevention of sliding between components (col.3, Il.52-57). One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that a tooth pitch of 2.5 mm is capable of preventing sliding between components. It has generally been recognized that the optimization of proportions in a prior art device is a design consideration within the skill of the art. In re Reese, 290 F.2d 839, 129 USPQ 402 (CCPA 1961). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to optimize the proportion of the Seibold tooth pitch to be 2.5 mm, in accordance with the scope of the Seibold disclosure, as such practice is a design consideration within the skill of the art.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment (i.e., the newly added limitation "over the length of said at least one elongated opening" in line 10 of claim1) necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor MacArthur whose telephone number is (571) 272-7085.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am - 5:00pm.

Art Unit: 3679

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (571) 272-7087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-3600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197.

VLM

April 27, 2006

DANIEL P. STODOLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

aniel P Stodola